33 RATES HARMONISATION PROJECT – DRAFT RATES STRUCTURE FOR 2020-2021

Report Author Phil Brennan, Manager Finance

File No. / ECM Index Rates Harmonisation

Date of Meeting 16 December 2020

Authorising Director Steve Embry, Director Corporate Services

SUMMARY OF REPORT

This report addresses the Rates Harmonisation Project currently underway and provides a recommendation for the adoption of categories and sub-categories for rating purposes and of a draft rate structure to form a community information program.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1. Adopt the categories and sub-categories outlined in the Table 'Proposed Categories & Sub-Categories' contained within the report.
- 2. Adopt the draft rate structure contained within Annexure A as the basis for a community information program to be undertaken in early 2021.

FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

The rates harmonisation project does not increase the total amount of rates that Council can levy and collect as this is governed by 'rate pegging' legislation.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The categorisation of land for rating purposes and development of rating structures are covered by specific requirements within the Local Government Act. These are outlined within the report.

ATTACHMENTS

- A: Rates Harmonisation Briefing Paper Morrison Low May 2019
- B: Assessment of Ability to Pay Mid Coast Council Morrison Low July 2019
- C: Rates Benefit & Service Pricing Report MidCoast Council Morrison Low September 2020

Attachment A-C have been circulated to the Councillors and Senior Staff, however these Attachments are publicly available on Council's website.

BACKGROUND

The Local Government (Council Amalgamations) Proclamation 2016 which created Mid-Coast Council contained the following clause in respect of the rating structure and categorisation of land for rating purposes.

25 Rating structure and categorisation of land for rating purposes

(1) This clause applies to the levying of rates for the 2016/2017 rating year.

- (2) The structure for rates applied by a former council to rates levied for a parcel of land in a former area for the 2015/2016 rating year is to be applied by the new council to that parcel.
- (3) The category or sub-category applied to a parcel of land in a former area for rating purposes for the 2015/2016 rating year is to be applied by the new council to that parcel.
- (4) It does not matter that different rating structures apply to different parcels because of subclause (2).
- (5) This clause does not prevent a person from applying for a review of a category under section 525 of the Act or from making an appeal under section 526 of that Act.
- (6) The rating structure is to be reviewed within the first term of the new council following the first election of the council.

The Local Government Act 1993 was subsequently amended by the inclusion of section 218CB 'Transitional provision for maintenance of pre-amalgamation rate paths' which allowed the Minister for Local Government to make a determination that allowed for the rating structures of the former councils to remain in place for a period of 3 years after such a determination was made.

The Minister at the time made such a determination in May 2017 which meant that the rate structures of the former councils remained in place until 30 June 2020.

Further changes to the Act provided the Minister with the ability to extend the 'rate freeze' provisions for a further 12 months. Council chose to accept the additional 12-month extension the result being that Council is required to have a single or 'harmonised' rates structure in place by 30 June 2021 taking effect for the 2021/2022 rating period.

IMPACT OF RATES & RATEPAYERS

The objectives of this project were twofold:

- 1. To develop an equitable rating structure that distributes the rates burden fairly across the new LGA
- 2. Balanced with the need to reduce the extent of a sudden, unexpected impact for the majority of ratepayers.

To an extent these are conflicting objectives as any increase in what a ratepayer is currently paying may be viewed as being the result of an inequitable rate structure. The rates harmonisation process is also impacted by all of the rating policy decisions made by the former councils since 1993 (coinciding with the introduction of the new Act) and in some instances prior to that date. Those decisions have created the differentials that are being highlighted through this process.

It is important to note at the outset that this Rates Harmonisation Project does not increase the total amount of rates that Council can levy and collect. This is governed by the general income requirements of the Act and by the rate pegging and special rate variation processes overseen by the Independent Pricing & Regulatory Tribunal (IPART).

The announced rate peg for the 2021/2022 rating year is 2%. Council will increase its general income by this figure. It will not be making an application to the IPART for a special rate variation above the rate peg for the 2021/2022 rating year.

The Rates Harmonisation Project will impact the amount of rates that will be levied on and payable by individual ratepayers. There will be ratepayers who will receive a decrease in their rates as a result of this process. Similarly, there will be ratepayers who will experience an

increase in the level of rates payable. This is an unavoidable outcome of the requirement to move to a single or 'harmonised' rate structure.

Morrison Low described the impact as follows:

"Even small changes to the rating structure will normally have large effects on the calculation of rates on some individual assessments, due to the nature of differential rates.

History and past policy decisions work against this process because small policy differences have large cumulative impacts on individual rate assessments that will necessarily result in large adjustments in any new harmonised rate structure.

There is no solution that will not produce outlier increases and decreases for individual ratepayers that may be beyond Council's accepted tolerance level. Our objective throughout this process is to produce an equitable rates structure that distributes the rates burden fairly; and this objective is balanced with the requirement to reducing sudden, unexpected increases for the majority of ratepayers."

Using the Farmland category to illustrate this point, the following table shows the existing Farmland structures of the former councils.

| | No. of Assess | Base % | Base Amt | Land Value | Rate in \$ | Yield |
|------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Gloucester | 710000 | | 7 | | | |
| Farmland | 643 | 15.97% | \$619.50 | \$467,465,800 | 0.004485 | \$2,494,922 |
| Great Lakes | | | | | | |
| Farmland | 4,082 | 44.00% | \$387.00 | \$630,189,665 | 0.003191 | \$3,590,669 |
| Greater Taree | | | | | | |
| Farmland | 1,521 | 35.08% | \$741.00 | \$707,944,839 | 0.002946 | \$3,212,827 |
| Total | 6,246 | | | \$1,805,600,304 | | \$9,298,418 |

There are significant differences between the Base Amount and the Rate in the \$ applied to each of these existing structures. A move to a single Farmland structure will result in the movement of yield between the former areas and between ratepayers. The table below illustrates this using a base amount of \$729 which is approximately 49% of the total yield for the combined Farmland category.

| Category - | No. of | Base % | Base | Land Value | Rate in \$ | Yield |
|------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Farmland | Assess | | Amt | | | |
| Gloucester | 643 | 48.97% | \$729.00 | \$467,465,800 | 0.002628 | \$1,697,247 |
| Great | 4,082 | 48.97% | \$729.00 | \$630,189,665 | 0.002628 | \$4,631,916 |
| Lakes | | | | | | |
| Greater | 1,521 | 48.97% | \$729.00 | \$707,944,839 | 0.002628 | \$2,969,288 |
| Taree | | | | | | |
| Total | 6,246 | | | \$1,805,600,304 | | \$9,298,452 |

The above table indicates a movement of rate burden from Gloucester and Greater Taree Farmland properties to Great Lakes Farmland properties. This is due to the lower value of these properties and the lower base rate that applied. Gloucester properties with a higher base rate and ad valorem rate would experience a large decrease in rate burden from the example above. The Great Lakes figures contain 3,194 non-urban properties that are categorised as

Farmland and that generally have low values. Substantial increases in rates for these properties would be the result of adoption of this model.

Note that this example is not the recommended structure but is provided to illustrate and highlight the challenges associated with this project.

In preparation for the initial 30 June 2020 deadline Council engaged Morrison Low consultants to assist with the Rates Harmonisation Project. This work included the preparation of a number of briefing papers, undertake rates modelling and participation in workshops with Council to present, review and refine a draft structure of consideration.

The Briefing Papers developed and presented to Council workshops included a Rates Harmonisation Briefing Paper, Assessment of Ability to Pay Report and a Rates Benefit & Service Pricing Report. These reports were prepared to provide Council with information in preparation for the strategic conversations that were held in respect of setting a new Revenue Policy and Harmonised Rates Structure.

Those reports are included as Attachments A - C. It is noted that Attachment B "Assessment of Ability to Pay" has a Table on Page 1 which cannot be completed until Council adopts a Rate Structure. When this information is available the Table in the Report will be completed and included in the community information program.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The legislative framework for setting rates and designing rating structures is set out in Chapter 15 of the Local Government Act 1993.

The focus of this report and of the council workshops has been on the development of a structure that addresses the ordinary rates levied by Council. Separate work is being undertaken in respect of annual charges, specifically domestic and non-domestic waste management charges and stormwater management charges.

The rates that will be levied on an individual ratepayer are based on a combination of the category (or sub-category) that applies to the land, the valuation of that land and the structure of the rate determined by Council.

Categorisation of Land

Section 493 of the Act states that there are 4 categories of rateable land – farmland; residential; mining and business, and that Council may divide these categories into sub-categories in accordance with section 529.

The relevant sections of the Act are reproduced below:

514 Categorisation of land for purposes of ordinary rates

Before making an ordinary rate, the council must have declared each parcel of rateable land in its area to be within one or other of the following categories—

- farmland
- residential
- mining
- business.

Note-

Land falls within the "business" category if it cannot be categorised as farmland, residential or mining. The main land uses that will fall within the "business" category are commercial and industrial.

515 Categorisation as farmland

- (1) Land is to be categorised as farmland if it is a parcel of rateable land valued as one assessment and its dominant use is for farming (that is, the business or industry of grazing, animal feedlots, dairying, pig-farming, poultry farming, viticulture, orcharding, bee-keeping, horticulture, vegetable growing, the growing of crops of any kind, forestry or aquaculture within the meaning of the Fisheries Management Act 1994, or any combination of those businesses or industries) which
 - a) has a significant and substantial commercial purpose or character, and
 - b) is engaged in for the purpose of profit on a continuous or repetitive basis (whether or not a profit is actually made).
- (2) Land is not to be categorised as farmland if it is rural residential land.
- (3) The regulations may prescribe circumstances in which land is or is not to be categorised as farmland.

516 Categorisation as residential

- (1) Land is to be categorised as residential if it is a parcel of rateable land valued as one assessment and—
 - a) its dominant use is for residential accommodation (otherwise than as a hotel, motel, guest-house, backpacker hostel or nursing home or any other form of residential accommodation (not being a boarding house or a lodging house) prescribed by the regulations), or
 - b) in the case of vacant land, it is zoned or otherwise designated for use under an environmental planning instrument (with or without development consent) for residential purposes, or
 - c) it is rural residential land.
 - (1A) For the purposes of this section, a boarding house or a lodging house means a building wholly or partly let as lodging in which each letting provides the tariff-paying occupant with a principal place of residence and in which—
 - a) each tariff charged does not exceed the maximum tariff for boarding houses or lodging houses for the time being determined by the Minister by order published in the Gazette for the purposes of this subsection, and
 - b) there are at least 3 tariff-paying occupants who have resided there for the last 3 consecutive months, or any period totalling 3 months during the last year, and includes a vacant building that was so let immediately before becoming vacant, but does not include a residential flat building, licensed premises, a private hotel, a building containing serviced apartments or a backpacker hostel or other tourist establishment.
- (2) The regulations may prescribe circumstances in which land is or is not to be categorised as residential.

517 Categorisation as mining

- (1) Land is to be categorised as mining if it is a parcel of rateable land valued as one assessment and its dominant use is for a coal mine or metalliferous mine.
- (2) The regulations may prescribe circumstances in which land is or is not to be categorised as mining.

518 Categorisation as business

Land is to be categorised as business if it cannot be categorised as farmland, residential or mining.

519 How is vacant land to be categorised?

If vacant land is unable to be categorised under section 515, 516 or 517, the land is to be categorised—

- a) if the land is zoned or otherwise designated for use under an environmental planning instrument—according to any purpose for which the land may be used after taking into account the nature of any improvements on the land and the nature of surrounding development, or
- b) if the land is not so zoned or designated—according to the predominant categorisation of surrounding land.

529 Rate may be the same or different within a category

- (1) Before making an ordinary rate, a council may determine a sub-category or sub-categories for one or more categories of rateable land in its area.
- (2) A sub-category may be determined
 - a) for the category "farmland"—according to the intensity of land use, the irrigability of the land or economic factors affecting the land, or
 - b) for the category "residential"—according to whether the land is rural residential land or is within a centre of population, or
 - c) for the category "mining"—according to the kind of mining involved, or
 - d) for the category "business"—according to a centre of activity.

Note-

In relation to the category "business", a centre of activity might comprise a business centre, an industrial estate or some other concentration of like activities.

- (3) The ad valorem amount (the amount in the dollar) of the ordinary rate may be the same for all land within a category or it may be different for different sub-categories.
- (4) Land may be taken to be irrigable for the purposes of subsection (2)(a) if, and only if, it is the subject of a water right within the meaning of the Valuation of Land Act 1916.

Sections 520 – 528 deal with the procedural aspects of land categorisation for rating purposes.

Each of the former councils had categorised and sub-categorised parcels of rateable land to meet the requirements of those councils at the time. The current categories, the rate that applies and definition of uses to the various sub-categories are set out in the tables below which have been taken from the current Operational Plan.

Former Great Lakes Council area

| Category | Sub-category | Definition of use |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| | | Dominant use of land is for residential purposes. Includes vacant land zoned to permit use for residential purposes. |
| Residential | Residential Towns | Separate sub-categories based on "centres of population" for Nabiac, Stroud, Bulahdelah, Forster, Tuncurry, Green Point, Pacific Palms, Hawks Nest, Tea Gardens, Seal Rocks, Pindimar, Coomba Park, North Arm Cove and Winda Woppa. Includes vacant land within those areas that are zoned to permit residential purposes. |
| | | Includes all properties which cannot be categorised as Residential or Farmland. Includes vacant land zoned to permit business. |
| Business | Business Towns | Separate sub-category for business properties in the towns of Forster and Tuncurry and the Council Administration Centre in Forster. Includes vacant land zoned for purposes such as business, commercial or industrial use. |
| | | All land deemed to be Farmland in accordance with the provisions of Section 515 Local Government Act 1993. |
| Farmland | | All residential type blocks such as at North Arm Cove and Pindimar which are zoned Zone RU2 - Rural Landscape. These properties cannot receive building approval, however limited agricultural use may be permitted. |
| Mining | | Land is to be categorised as mining if it is a parcel of rateable land and its dominant use is for a coal or metalliferous mine. |

Former Greater Taree City Council area

| Category | Sub-category | Definition of use | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Residential | | Dominant use of land is for residential purposes. Includes vacant land zoned to permit use for residential purposes. | | | | |
| | Residential Town | All properties within the defined boundaries of Taree, Cundletown and Wingham whose dominant use is for residential accommodation; also includes vacant land zoned or otherwise designated for use for Residential purposes under an environmental planning instrument. | | | | |
| | Residential Village | All properties within the defined boundaries of the villages of Black Head, Coopernook, Croki, Crowdy Head, Diamond Beach, Hallidays Point, Harrington, Johns River, Krambach, Lansdowne, Manning Point, Old Bar, Red Head, Tallwoods Village, Tinonee and Wallabi Point whose dominant use is for residential accommodation; also includes vacant land zoned or otherwise designated for use for Residential purposes under an environmental planning instrument. | | | | |
| | Residential Rural Estate | All properties zoned for rural residential subdivisions and having been developed for that pur with the dominant use being for residential accommodation; also includes vacant land within estates. | | | | |
| | Residential Rural | All properties used for residential purposes that are outside the areas defined as Towns, Villages and Rural Estates. Also included are vacant concessional allotments having designated use for Residential purposes under an environmental planning instrument. | | | | |
| Business | | Includes all properties that cannot be categorised as Residential or Farmland. Includes vacant land zoned to permit business. | | | | |
| | Business Town | All properties within the defined town boundaries with the dominant use being commercial or industrial activities. Includes property which cannot be categorised as Farmland, Residential or Mining. Includes vacant land zoned for commercial or industrial use. | | | | |
| | Business Village | All properties within the defined village boundaries with the dominant use being commercial or industrial activities. Includes vacant land zoned for commercial or industrial use. | | | | |
| | Business | All properties used for commercial or industrial activities that are outside the town and village defined boundaries. Includes vacant land zoned for commercial or industrial use. | | | | |
| Farmland | | All properties that fulfil the definition of Farmland eligibility determined in accordance with Section 515 of the Local Government Act 1993. | | | | |

Former Gloucester Shire Council area

| Category | Sub-Category | Definition of use |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Residential | | Dominant use of land is for residential purposes. Includes vacant land zoned to permit use for residential purposes. |
| | Residential Gloucester | All properties within the defined boundary of Gloucester. Includes vacant land within the area that is zoned to permit residential purposes. |
| | Residential Village | All properties with the defined boundaries of Barrington, Stratford and Craven. Includes vacant land within those areas that is zoned to permit residential purposes. |
| | Residential Rural | All properties that are outside the areas defined as towns and villages and do not fall into the category of farming. |
| Business | | Includes all properties that cannot be categorised as Residential or Farmland. Includes vacant land zoned to permit business. |
| | Business Commercial / Industrial | All properties within the defined town boundaries with the dominant use being commercial or industrial use. Includes vacant land zoned for commercial or industrial use. |
| | Business Other | Any business that does not fall into the category of commercial or industrial. |
| Farmland | | All land deemed to be Farmland in accordance with the provisions of Section 515 Local Government Act 1993. |
| Mining | | Land is to be categorised as mining if it is a parcel of rateable land and its dominant use is for a coal or metalliferous mine. |
| | Mining (Working Coal) | Land is to be categorised as mining if it is a parcel of rateable land and its dominant use is for coal. |
| | Mining (Other Gold) | Land is to be categorised as mining if it is a parcel of rateable land and its dominant use is for gold. |

For the purposes of this project a detailed review of the existing categorisation of land was not undertaken i.e. it was assumed that existing categorisation declarations were correct. Attention at workshops was focussed on the level of sub-categorisation that would be desirable in combining the existing rate structures into a single structure.

Farmland

Farmland can be sub-categorised on the basis of intensity of land use, irrigability of land or economic factors affecting the land (noting that irrigability is related to water rights within the meaning of the Valuation of Land Act).

The existing Farmland structures contained 1 sub-category within the former Great Lakes structure that covered land described as non-urban predominantly located in the North Arm Cove, Pindimar, Carrington etc areas. These properties are residential type blocks which are zoned RU2 - Rural Landscape but cannot receive building approval however limited agricultural use may be permitted.

Following consideration of various Farmland models that removed this sub-category it is proposed that it be retained on the basis of the intensity of use as it is recognised within the LEP as being capable of limited agricultural use in comparison with all other Farmland categorised properties which are required to meet the definition of Farmland under the Act.

Mining

There are 6 properties categorised as Mining across the entire MidCoast Council area. It is not proposed to sub-categorise on the basis of the kind of mining involved and as such there will be a single Mining category covering all such properties.

Residential

The Residential category covers the majority of rateable properties in the MidCoast Council area. Acknowledging the differences in values, availability of and access to services and facilities and the varying size and locality of population centres it is proposed that subcategories be utilised in the Residential rate structure.

The Residential category can be sub-categorised based on centres of population or if the land is rural residential land.

The definition of rural residential land is land that is the site of a dwelling, is not less than 2 hectares and not more than 40 hectares and does not have a significant and substantial commercial purpose or character. There are also criteria in relation to the zoning of the land that need to be considered.

It is not proposed that a rural residential sub-category be created. For land to fall within this sub-category it must meet the all parts of the criteria. In practice this raises anomalies particularly in rural residential estates where there may be small variations in the area of adjoining properties eg 1 property with an area of 1.99ha adjoining a property with an area of 2.01ha and both having a dwelling – the result is that the 2.01ha property is sub-categorised as rural residential and the 1.99ha property is not.

It is proposed to continue with the sub-categorisation of the Residential category based on centres of population. The current sub-categories for the former council areas are set out below:

| Great Lakes | Greater Taree | Gloucester |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | |
| Forster | Taree | Gloucester |
| Tuncurry | Cundletown | Barrington |
| Green Point | Wingham | Stratford |
| Pacific Palms | Hallidays Point | Craven |
| Winda Woppa | Old Bar | Residential Rural |
| Hawks Nest | Harrington | |
| Tea Gardens | Coopernook | |

| North Arm Cove | Croki | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Pindimar | Johns River | |
| Seal Rocks | Krambach | |
| Coomba Park | Lansdowne | |
| Nabiac | Tinonee | |
| Bulahdelah | Rural Estates | |
| Stroud | Residential Rural | |
| Residential Rural | Black Head | |
| | Crowdy Head | |
| | Wallabi Point | |
| | Diamond Beach | |
| | Manning Point | |
| | Red Head | |
| | Tallwoods Village | |

Following considerable discussion at the workshops it is proposed to create the following subcategories under the new structure.

| Sub-Category | Sub-Category |
|----------------|---|
| Forster | Pindimar |
| Tuncurry | Pacific Palms |
| Taree | Seal Rocks |
| Cundletown | Smiths Lake |
| Wingham | Green Point |
| Gloucester | Hallidays Point - including Black Head, Red |
| | Head, Diamond Beach & Tallwoods Village |
| Hawks Nest | Old Bar / Wallabi Point – including Manning Point |
| Tea Gardens | Harrington / Crowdy Head |
| North Arm Cove | Winda Woppa |

It is also proposed that those existing sub-categories that are not mentioned in the table above will cease to be sub-categories and will remain categorised as Residential.

It is a requirement of the Act that all properties across the council area be categorised into one of the four allowable rating categories. It is not a requirement that all properties be subcategorised. The rate structure that will be proposed below groups certain sub-categories and applies a common base amount and ad-valorem rate to them eg properties along the coastal strip. It is the properties falling in centres of population where a common rate other than that proposed for the Residential category that have been identified above as requiring subcategorisation.

Business

As identified above land is categorised as Business if it cannot be categorised in one of the other 3 categories. Sub-categorisation is based on centres of activity. All of the former councils have created sub-categories that generally align with town or village boundaries with the remaining properties in the Business category.

Those existing sub-categories are set out below followed by the proposed sub-categories

| Great Lakes | Greater Taree | Gloucester |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Forster | Town | Commercial / Industrial |
| Tuncurry | Village | Other |
| Council Administration | Rural | |
| Business | | |

Proposed Business Sub-categories

| Forster | |
|------------|--|
| Tuncurry | |
| Gloucester | |
| Taree | |
| Cundletown | |
| Wingham | |

Note that all other properties that are categorised as Business will not be sub-categorised. There are approximately 975 of these properties to which the Business rate will apply.

The table below outlines the new category / sub-category proposal and includes the number of properties within each category or sub-category. Where there is no sub-category listed, this represents the properties that have not been further sub-categorised.

PROPOSED CATEGORIES & SUB-CATEGORIES

| Category | Sub-Category | No. of assessments | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Farmland | | 3,056 | | | |
| | Low-Intensity | 3,194 | | | |
| Residential | (No Sub-Category) | 12,313 | | | |
| | Forster | 7,250 | | | |
| | Tuncurry | 3,171 | | | |
| | Taree | 6,823 | | | |
| | Cundletown | 717 | | | |
| | Wingham | 2,140 | | | |
| | Gloucester | 1,175 | | | |
| | Hawks Nest | 1,384 | | | |
| | Tea Gardens | 1,409 | | | |
| | North Arm Cove | 403 | | | |
| | Pindimar | 196 | | | |
| | Pacific Palms | 1,042 | | | |
| | Smiths Lake | 1,022 | | | |
| | Seal Rocks | 61 | | | |
| | Green Point | 311 | | | |
| | Hallidays Point | 2,022 | | | |
| | Old Bar / Wallabi Point | 2,498 | | | |
| | Harrington / Crowdy Head | 1,934 | | | |
| | Winda Woppa | 167 | | | |
| Business | (No Sub-Category) | 975 | | | |
| | Forster | 405 | | | |
| | Tuncurry | 215 | | | |
| | Taree | 722 | | | |
| | Cundletown | 11 | | | |
| | Wingham | 108 | | | |
| | Gloucester | 243 | | | |
| Mining | | 6 | | | |

It should be noted that these sub-categories are based on the existing sub-category maps. It will be necessary to review these maps and identify any areas where town or village boundaries have expanded and require adjustments to sub-categories.

Should Council adopt these categories / sub-categories there is an administrative process that will follow to notify ratepayers of the new category / sub-category that is proposed to apply for the 2021/2022 rating year. There are appeal processes associated with these declarations which are set out in sections 520 - 528 of the Act.

The Structure of a Rate

The existing rate structures of the former councils predominantly consist of a base amount to which an ad-valorem amount is added. Business rates in the former Greater Taree structure consisted of an ad-valorem amount subject to a minimum amount of rates while the Gloucester Mining rate structure was 100% ad-valorem.

The proposed structures for each category and sub-category are all configured on a base amount + ad-valorem component methodology.

From a legislative perspective the following matters need to be considered in applying a base amount and ad-valorem structure.

- The base amount may be uniform or may vary between categories or sub-categories.
- The base amount is applied uniformly to all land subject to the rate (or category or subcategory of a rate).
- The base amount cannot produce more than 50% of the total revenue to be derived from a rate (or the category or sub-category of the rate).
- The ad-valorem amount of a rate is to be levied on the land value of all land that is rateable to the rate.
- The ad-valorem rate may be the same for all categories or it may be different for different categories.
- The same applies to categories and sub-categories i.e. the ad-valorem rate applied to a category and its sub-categories may be the same or different.

Rate Structure Modelling

A series of workshops have been conducted with councillors to consider the impact of moving from the 3 existing rate structures to a single rate structure. Initial modelling and workshops were undertaken by Morrison Low and focussed on the use of a range of common base percentages applied across a range of options for the number of sub-categories that may apply. Later workshops undertaken by council staff focussed on the movement within categories and sub-categories to identify the percentage and dollar movements from the application of new structures. There was also a move from a common Base percentage to a common Base amount applying to categories and sub-categories.

Having considered the information provided by Morrison Low around service costing and benefit analysis, models were prepared so that the rate burden was not shifted between categories i.e. the current amount of rates levied on the Residential category would be the same after the modelling. It was understood that the rate burden may move within categories and across sub-categories and this was a consideration in assessing the impact of any model that was produced.

Modelling also focussed on the application of a common rate across sub-categories where there was a similarity in services and facilities provided and/or comparable valuations.

The modelling has also been based on the 2020/2021 rate levy and each model reconciles back to the level of rating income levied for that year. This allows for a direct comparison between the current and modelled structure. Once a draft structure is adopted it will be subject to an increase equivalent to the rate peg of 2% when it is included in the draft 2021/2022 Integrated Planning and Reporting documents.

The initial groupings looked at an Urban rate that would apply to the major urban centres of Taree / Cundletown / Wingham and Forster / Tuncurry, a Coastal rate that would apply to the centres of population along the coastline of the MidCoast area given the higher values and similar service levels, a Village rate focussed on small villages such as Stroud, Bulahdelah, Nabiac, Tinonee and a Residential rate that would apply to all other properties within this category.

Based on the consideration of these models, the movement of rates burden between subcategories and the range of movements in terms of percentage and dollar value a draft structure as contained in Annexure A was provided for consideration.

For Farmland, modelling focussed on the use of a single category covering all properties categorised as Farmland. Consideration was also given to the creation of a separate subcategory containing those non-urban properties from the former Great Lakes structure. Models were prepared for this scenario as well.

There are few options available minimise the movement of rates across the Farmland category. The differences between each of the former councils is significant and any model that applies a common structure across all of these properties results, in general, in a reduction of rates levied on former Gloucester Farmland, increases in the former Great Lakes Farmland properties and increases and decreases across the former Great Taree Farmland category.

A separate sub-category for the non-urban land in the former Great Lakes is recommended with the rate burden maintained at a similar level to the current level. While this does not provide a resolution to the longstanding issue of the rating of these properties, it does maintain the status quo in terms of level of rates paid, level of outstanding debts etc.

This proposed structure is shown in Annexure A.

The Mining category contains 6 properties of which 2 are large coal mines. Any single structure results in a shift of rate burden from the Gloucester mine (Stratford) to the Great Lakes mine (Duralie) with smaller increases in the smaller mines.

A structure utilising a 1% base amount is recommended and included in Annexure A.

The Business category provides similar challenges to the Residential category. A change from a minimum rate structure to a base and ad-valorem structure is proposed for the former Greater Taree business properties. This provides consistency across the entire rate structure. To minimise impact, it is proposed that there be separate sub-categories and rates that cover the main business centres of Forster / Tuncurry, Taree / Cundletown / Wingham and Gloucester. All other Business categorised properties would be subject to a single rate.

This structure is shown in Annexure A.

For comparative purposes the current rate structure as adopted by Council is included as Annexure B.

COMMUNITY CONSIDERATION

It is proposed that the draft structure form the basis of a community information program to be undertaken in the new year. This will provide the community opportunities to understand the likely impact of the move to a single or 'harmonised' rate structure on their individual circumstances and for feedback on the structure at a strategic level.

This provides time for Council to consider any feedback received and whether amendments are required to the draft rate structure prior to its inclusion in the 2021/2022 draft Statement of Revenue Policy (which forms part of the 2021/2022 draft Delivery Program / Operational Plan).

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1. Adopt the categories and sub-categories outlined in the Table 'Proposed Categories & Sub-Categories' contained within the report.
- 2. Adopt the draft rate structure contained within Annexure A as the basis for a community information program to be undertaken in early 2021.

ANNEXURES

A: Proposed Draft Rate Structure for MidCoast Council

PROPOSED DRAFT RATE STRUCTURE FOR MIDCOAST COUNCIL

| | | | | | 1 | | 9 | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| RESIDENTIAL CATEGORY | Assessments | Base Amount | Base % | Ad valorem Rate | Base \$ | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Rates | Average rate | Average Movement | Rates 2020-2021 | Average Rate 2020-2021 |
| Urban Sub-Categories | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Forster Tuncurry Rate | 10,421 | \$662.00 | 45.88% | 0.0034780 | \$6,898,702.00 | \$8,138,892.37 | \$15,037,594.37 | \$ 1,443.01 | \$ - | \$ 15,037,594.37 | \$ 1,443.01 |
| Forster | 7,250 | \$662.00 | 44.92% | | \$4,799,500.00 | \$5,886,156.22 | \$10,685,656.22 | \$ 1,473.88 | | \$ 10,685,656.22 | |
| Tuncurry | 3,171 | \$662.00 | 48.24% | 0.0034780 | \$2,099,202.00 | \$2,252,736.15 | \$ 4,351,938.15 | \$ 1,372.42 | \$ - | \$ 4,351,938.15 | \$ 1,372.42 |
| Residential Taree Wingham & Cundletown Rate | 9,680 | \$595.00 | 46.53% | 0.0051710 | \$5,759,600.00 | \$6,619,302.63 | \$12,378,902.63 | \$ 1,278.81 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 12,378,892.74 | \$ 1,278.81 |
| Residential Taree | 6,823 | \$595.00 | 46.51% | | \$4,059,685.00 | \$4,669,750.92 | \$ 8,729,435.92 | \$ 1,279.41 | | \$ 8,729,333.02 | \$ 1,279.40 |
| Residential Cundletown Residential Wingham | 717 2,140 | \$595.00 \$595.00 | 40.03% 49.28% | | \$ 426,615.00 \$1,273,300.00 | \$ 639,169.21 \$1,310,382.49 | \$ 1,065,784.21 \$ 2,583,682.49 | \$ 1,486.45 \$ 1,207.33 | | \$ 1,062,299.83 \$ 2,587,259.89 | \$ 1,481.59 \$ 1,209.00 |
| Residential Gloucester Rate | 1,175 | \$525.00 | 44.41% | 0.0071780 | \$ 616,875.00 | \$ 772,141.41 | \$ 1,389,016.41 | \$ 1,182.14 | \$ - | \$ 1,389,016.41 | \$ 1,182.14 |
| Residential Gloucester | 1,175 | \$525.00 | 44.41% | 0.0071780 | \$ 616,875.00 | \$ 772,141.41 | \$ 1,389,016.41 | \$ 1,182.14 | \$ - | \$ 1,389,016.41 | \$ 1,182.14 |
| Coastal Sub-Categories | Assessments | Base Amount | Base % | Ad valorem Rate | Base \$ | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Rates | Average rate | Average Movement | Rates 2020-2021 | Average Rate 2020-2021 |
| Coastal Rate | 12,282 | \$611.00 | 43.16% | 0.0031616 | \$7,504,302.00 | \$9,883,757.18 | \$17,388,059.18 | \$ 1,415.74 | -\$ 2.33 | \$ 17,416,632.84 | \$ 1,418.06 |
| Hawks Nest | 1.384 | \$611.00 | 42.70% | 0.0031616 | \$ 845.624.00 | \$1,134,607,81 | \$ 1.980.231.81 | \$ 1,430,80 | -\$ 80.42 | \$ 2.091.530.18 | \$ 1,511.22 |
| Tea Gardens | 1,409 | \$611.00 | 42.36% | 0.0031616 | | \$1,171,455.33 | \$ 2,032,354.33 | \$ 1,442.41 | -\$ 80.83 | \$ 2,146,249.94 | \$ 1,523.24 |
| North Arm Cove | 403 | \$611.00 | 39.26% | 0.0031616 | \$ 246,233.00 | \$ 380,984.56 | \$ 627,217.56 | \$ 1,556.37 | -\$ 84.92 | \$ 661,441.84 | \$ 1,641.29 |
| Pindimar | 196 | \$611.00 | 41.50% | 0.0031616 | \$ 119,756.00 | \$ 168,830.28 | \$ 288,586.28 | \$ 1,472.38 | -\$ 81.91 | \$ 304,640.60 | \$ 1,554.29 |
| Pacific Palms Rate | 1,042 | \$611.00 | 30.23% | 0.0031616 | \$ 636,662.00 | \$1,469,691.71 | \$ 2,106,353.71 | \$ 2,021.45 | -\$ 21.76 | \$ 2,129,023.56 | \$ 2,043.21 |
| Seal Rocks | 61 | \$611.00 | 19.40% | 0.0031616 | \$ 37,271.00 | \$ 154,868.56 | \$ 192,139.56 | \$ 3,149.83 | -\$ 142.10 | \$ 200,807.88 | \$ 3,291.93 |
| Smiths Lake | 1,022 | \$611.00 | 48.19% | 0.0031616 | | \$ 671,280.35 | \$ 1,295,722.35 | \$ 1,267.83 | -\$ 18.15 | \$ 1,314,270.64 | \$ 1,285.98 |
| Green Point | 311 | \$611.00 | 49.26% | | \$ 190,021.00 | \$ 195,728.44 | \$ 385,749.44 | \$ 1,240.35 | -\$ 22.12 | \$ 421,201.50 | \$ 1,354.35 |
| Residential Hallidays Point | 2,022 | \$611.00 | 46.04% | 0.0031616 | \$1,235,442.00 | \$1,447,743.59 | \$ 2,683,185.59 | \$ 1,327.00 | \$ 52.44 | \$ 2,576,327.20 | \$ 1,274.15 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Old Bar/Wallabi Pt Residential Harrington/Crowdy | 2,498 1,934 | \$611.00 \$611.00 | 48.60% 44.49% | | \$1,526,889.00 | \$1,614,731.95 | \$ 3,141,620.95 \$ 2,654,897.60 | \$ 1,257.15 \$ 1,373.46 | \$ 47.32 \$ 55.84 | \$ 3,022,747.67 \$ 2,548,391.84 | \$ 1,210.07 \$ 1,317.68 |

| Minda Woppa Sub-Category Assessments Amount Base % Rate Base \$ Ad-valorem \$ Total Rates Average rate Movement Rates 2020-2021 2020-2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Residential Category Residenti | Winda Woppa Sub-Category | Assessments | | Base % | valorem | Base \$ | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Rates | Average rate | | Rates 2020-2021 | Average Rate 2020-2021 |
| Residential Category | Winda Woppa Rate | 167 | \$662.00 | 20.33% | 0.0042900 | \$ 110,554.00 | \$ 433,195.62 | \$ 543,749.62 | \$ 3,255.99 | \$ - | \$ 543,749.62 | \$ 3,255.99 |
| FARMLAND CATEGORY Assessments Rate Base Ad- valorem Rate Base Ad- valorem Base Ad- valorem Farmland Rate 3,056 \$613.06 \$24.00% 0.0034395 \$1,873,513.78 \$5,932,793.64 \$7,806,307.41 \$2,554.42 \$0.41 \$7,805,068.41 \$2,554.01 Farmland Low Intensity Sub-Category Farmland Low Intensity Rate 3,194 \$229.88 49.00% 0.0092940 \$734,247.28 \$764,216.56 \$1,498,463.85 \$469.15 \$ - \$1,498,463.85 \$469.15 Ad- valorem Base Ad- valorem Farmland Low Intensity Rate 3,194 \$229.88 49.00% 0.0092940 \$734,247.28 \$764,216.56 \$1,498,463.85 \$469.15 \$ - \$1,498,463.85 \$469.15 Average Business Categories Forster Tuncurry Business Rate 620 \$763.00 15.66% 0.0092650 \$473,060.00 \$2,548,579.36 \$3,021,639.36 \$4,873.61 \$14.29 \$3,012,781.45 \$4,859.32 Business Forster Rate 405 \$763.00 15.43% 0.0092650 \$309,015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$2,002,294.06 \$4,873.61 \$14.29 \$3,012,781.45 \$4,859.32 Business Forster Rate 405 \$763.00 16.09% 0.0092650 \$164,045.00 \$855,300.30 \$1,019,345.30 \$4,441.41 \$101.47 \$1,034,023.42 \$4,809.41 Gloucester Business Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$12,5757.00 \$372,877.11 \$500,452.11 \$2,059.47 \$ - \$500,452.11 \$2,059.47 | Residential Category | Assessments | | Base % | valorem | Base \$ | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Rates | Average rate | | Rates 2020-2021 | Average Rate 2020-2021 |
| Base Base Base Rate | Residential Rate | 12,313 | \$694.47 | 49.50% | 0.0029793 | \$8,557,164.77 | \$8,730,036.78 | \$17,287,201.55 | \$ 1,403.98 | \$ - | \$ 17,287,201.55 | \$ 1,403.98 |
| Base Base Base Rate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farmland Rate 3,056 \$613.06 24.00% 0.0034395 \$1,873,513.78 \$5,932,793.64 \$7,806,307.41 \$2,554.42 \$0.41 \$7,805,068.41 \$2,554.01 Farmland Low Intensity Sub-Category Farmland Low Intensity Rate 3,194 \$229.88 49.00% 0.0092940 \$734,247.28 \$764,216.56 \$1,498,463.85 \$469.15 \$ \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.85 \$4,499.15 \$1,498,463.8 | | | | Base % | valorem | Basa \$ | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Pates | Average rate | | D-4 2020 2024 | Average Rate |
| Farmland Low Intensity Sub-Category Farmland Low Intensity Rate 3,194 \$229.88 49.00% 0.0092940 \$ 734,247.28 \$ 764,216.56 \$ 1,498,463.85 \$ 469.15 \$ - \$ 1,498,463.85 \$ 469.15 Base Advalorem Base Advalorem Base Advalorem \$ Total Rates Average rate Movement Rates 2020-2021 2020-2021 Business Sub Categories Forster Tuncurry Business Rate 620 \$763.00 15.66% 0.0092650 \$ 473,060.00 \$2,548,579.36 \$ 3,021,639.36 \$ 4,873.61 \$ 14.29 \$ 3,012,781.45 \$ 4,859.32 Business Forster Rate 405 \$763.00 15.43% 0.00926500 \$ 309.015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$ 2,002,294.06 \$ 4,943.94 \$ 101.47 \$ 1,978,758.03 \$ 4,897.92 Business Tuncurry Rate 215 \$763.00 16.09% 0.00926500 \$ 164,045.00 \$ 855,300.30 \$ 1,019,345.30 \$ 4,741.14 \$ 101.47 \$ 1,034,023.42 \$ 4,809.41 Gloucester Business Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$ 127,575.00 \$ 372,877.11 \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 \$ - \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 | | | | | | | | | | n (0000 - 1 - 2/1000 9 | | |
| Business Sub Categories Forster Tuncurry Business Rate 620 \$763.00 15.66% 0.0092650 \$473,060.00 \$2,548,579.36 \$3,021,639.36 \$4,873.61 \$14.29 \$3,012,781.45 \$4,859.32 Business Forster Rate 640 \$763.00 15.43% 0.0092650 \$309,015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$2,002,294.06 \$4,943.94 \$101.47 \$1,034,023.42 \$4,809.41 Business Tuncurry Rate 640 \$763.00 15.43% 0.0092650 \$309,015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$2,002,294.06 \$4,943.94 \$101.47 \$1,034,023.42 \$4,809.41 Business Tuncurry Rate 650 \$763.00 15.43% 0.0092650 \$164,045.00 \$855,300.30 \$1,019,345.30 \$4,741.14 \$101.47 \$1,034,023.42 \$4,809.41 Business Tuncurry Rate 650 \$763.00 15.43% 0.0092650 \$164,045.00 \$855,300.30 \$1,019,345.30 \$4,741.14 \$101.47 \$1,034,023.42 \$4,809.41 | Farmland Low Intensity Sub-Category | 3,194 | \$229.88 | 49.00% | 0.0092940 | \$ 734,247.28 | \$ 764,216.56 | \$ 1,498,463.85 | \$ 469.15 | \$ - | \$ 1,498,463.85 | \$ 469.15 |
| Business Categories Business Forster Tuncurry Business Rate 620 \$763.00 15.66% 0.0092650 \$473,060.00 \$2,548,579.36 \$3,021,639.36 \$4,873.61 \$14.29 \$3,012,781.45 \$4,859.32 Business Forster Rate 405 \$763.00 15.43% 0.0092650 \$309,015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$2,002,294.06 \$4,943.94 \$101.47 \$1,978,758.03 \$4,897.92 Business Tuncurry Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$127,575.00 \$372,877.11 \$500,452.11 \$2,059.47 \$-\$500,452.11 \$2,059.47 | | | 192 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Forster Tuncurry Business Rate 620 \$763.00 15.66% 0.0092650 \$ 473,060.00 \$2,548,579.36 \$ 3,021,639.36 \$ 4,873.61 \$ 14.29 \$ 3,012,781.45 \$ 4,859.32 Business Forster Rate 405 \$763.00 15.43% 0.00926500 \$ 309,015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$ 2,002,294.06 \$ 4,943.94 \$ 101.47 \$ 1,978,758.03 \$ 4,897.92 Business Tuncurry Rate 215 \$763.00 16.09% 0.00926500 \$ 164,045.00 \$855,300.30 \$ 1,019,345.30 \$ 4,741.14 \$ 101.47 \$ 1,034,023.42 \$ 4,809.41 Gloucester Business Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$ 127,575.00 \$ 372,877.11 \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 \$ - \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 | BUSINESS CATEGORY | Assessments | | Base % | valorem | | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Rates | Average rate | | Rates 2020-2021 | Average Rate 2020-2021 |
| Business Forster Rate 405 \$763.00 15.43% 0.00926500 \$ 309,015.00 \$1,693,279.06 \$ 2,002,294.06 \$ 4,943.94 \$ 101.47 \$ 1,978,758.03 \$ 4,897.92 Business Tuncurry Rate 215 \$763.00 16.09% 0.00926500 \$ 164,045.00 \$855,300.30 \$1,019,345.30 \$ 4,741.14 \$101.47 \$ 1,034,023.42 \$ 4,809.41 Gloucester Business Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$ 127,575.00 \$372,877.11 \$500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 \$ - \$500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 | Business Sub Categories | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Business Tuncurry Rate 215 \$763.00 16.09% 0.00926500 \$ 164,045.00 \$ 855,300.30 \$ 1,019,345.30 \$ 4,741.14 \$ 101.47 \$ 1,034,023.42 \$ 4,809.41 Gloucester Business Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$ 127,575.00 \$ 372,877.11 \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 \$ - \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 | Forster Tuncurry Business Rate | 620 | \$763.00 | 15.66% | 0.0092650 | \$ 473,060.00 | \$2,548,579.36 | \$ 3,021,639.36 | \$ 4,873.61 | \$ 14.29 | \$ 3,012,781.45 | \$ 4,859.32 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3usiness Gloucester Rate 243 \$525.00 25.49% 0.0109080 \$ 127,575.00 \$ 372,877.11 \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 \$ - \$ 500,452.11 \$ 2,059.47 | Gloucester Business Rate | 243 | \$525.00 | 25.49% | 0.0109080 | \$ 127,575.00 | \$ 372,877.11 | \$ 500,452.11 | \$ 2,059.47 | \$ - | \$ 500,452.11 | \$ 2,059.47 |
| | Business Gloucester Rate | 243 | \$525.00 | 25.49% | 0.0109080 | \$ 127,575.00 | \$ 372,877.11 | \$ 500,452.11 | \$ 2,059.47 | \$ - | \$ 500,452.11 | \$ 2,059.47 |

| Taree Business Rate - Base Rate Structure | 841 | \$248.00 | 4.07% | 0.0210720 | \$ 205,840.00 | \$4,854,478.16 | \$ 5,060,318.16 | \$ 6,017.03 | -\$ | 25.31 | \$ 5,081,606.37 | \$ | 6,042.34 |
|---|-----|----------|--------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|-------|-----------------|-----|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Business Taree Rate | 722 | \$248.00 | 3.83% | 0.0210720 | \$ 179,056.00 | \$4,493,190.93 | \$ 4,672,246.93 | \$ 6,471.26 | \$ | - | | | |
| Business Wingham Rate | 108 | \$248.00 | 6.90% | 0.0210720 | \$ 26,784.00 | \$ 361,287.24 | \$ 388,071.24 | \$ 3,593.25 | \$ | - | | 176 | |
| Business Cundletown | 11 | \$248.00 | 14.93% | 0.0210720 | \$ 2,728.00 | \$ 18,277.22 | \$ 18,277.22 | \$ 1,661.57 | \$ | - | | | |
| Business Rate | 975 | \$521.89 | 25 00% | 0.0064660 | ¢ 509 942 75 | \$1,526,586.31 | \$ 2,035,429.06 | 2.087.62 | • | 0.05 | \$ 2 035 383 47 | _ | 2 087 57 |

| MINING CATEGORY | Assessments | Base Rate | Base % | Ad- valorem Rate | Base \$ | Ad-valorem \$ | Total Rates | Average Average Average rate Movement | D-4 2020 2024 | Average Rate 2020-2021 |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Mining Rate | | \$551.50 | 0.99% | 0.0075660 | \$ 3,309.00 | \$ 330,539,63 | | \$ 55,641.44 -\$ 1.67 | | \$ 55.643.11 |

B: Current Rate Structure for MidCoast Council

Current Rate Structure for MidCoast Council

| Residential Sub Categories Residential Forster Tuncurry Rate Forster Tuncurry Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes | inimums A | 7,250 3,171 311 1,042 | 44.92% 48.24% 48.88% 32.40% | \$ \$ | 662.00 662.00 662.00 | \$ \$ | A,799,500.00 2,099,202.00 | 2019 Land Value 1,692,396,843 647,710,220 | Rate in \$ | | Amount | \$ | Total Rates | Average Value | \$ | Average Rate |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--|----------------------|------|--------------|-----|---------------------|------------------|----|-----------------|
| Residential Forster Tuncurry Rate Forster Tuncurry Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes | | 3,171 311 1,042 | 48.24% 48.88% | \$ \$ | 662.00 662.00 662.00 | \$ | 4,799,500.00 2,099,202.00 | 1,692,396,843 | 0.00347800 | \$ | | l e | Total Rates | | • | Rate |
| Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes | | 3,171 311 1,042 | 48.24% 48.88% | \$ | 662.00 662.00 | \$ | 2,099,202.00 | | | \$ | 5 886 156 22 | l e | | | | |
| Forster Tuncurry Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes | | 3,171 311 1,042 | 48.24% 48.88% | \$ | 662.00 662.00 | \$ | 2,099,202.00 | | | \$ | 5 886 156 22 | 0 | | | • | |
| Tuncurry Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes | | 3,171 311 1,042 | 48.24% 48.88% | \$ | 662.00 662.00 | \$ | 2,099,202.00 | | | \$ | 5 886 156 22 | 2 | | | • | |
| Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes | | 1,042 | 48.88% | \$ | 662.00 | - | | 647 710 220 | | | | | 10,685,656.22 | 233,434 | | 1,473.8 |
| Green Point Pacific Palms Rate Smiths lakes Winda Woppa Rate | | 1,042 | | | | \$ | 205 200 20 | | 0.00347800 | \$ | 2,252,736.15 | \$ | 4,351,938.15 | 204,261 | \$ | 1.372.4 |
| Smiths lakes | | | 32.40% | S | | | 205,882.00 | 61,909,000 | 0.00347800 | | 215,319.50 | \$ | 421,201.50 | 199,064 | \$ | 1,354.3 |
| | | | | | 662.00 | \$ | 689,804.00 | 464,864,200 | 0.00309600 | \$ | 1,439,219.56 | \$ | 2.129.023.56 | 446,127 | \$ | 2.043.2 |
| Winda Woppa Rate | | | | - | | | | S. 1 S. 2 / Sect. 1 | - Sec. 27 (1) 10 (1) | | | | the transfer of the | | | |
| | | 167 | 20.33% | \$ | 662.00 | \$ | 110,554.00 | 100,978,000 | 0.00429000 | \$ | 433,195.62 | \$ | 543,749.62 | 604,659 | \$ | 3,255.9 |
| Lower Coastal Rate | | | | + | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Hawks Nest | C 5 3 H L D | 1,384 | 43.81% | \$ | 662.00 | \$ | 916,208.00 | 358,877,000 | 0.00327500 | \$ | 1,175,322.18 | \$ | 2,091,530.18 | 259,304 | \$ | 1.511.2 |
| Tea Gardens | | 1,409 | 43.46% | \$ | 662.00 | \$ | 932,758.00 | 370,531,890 | 0.00327500 | | 1,213,491.94 | | 2,146,249,94 | 262,975 | \$ | 1.523.2 |
| North Arm Cove | | 403 | 40.33% | \$ | 662.00 | \$ | 266,786.00 | 120,505,600 | 0.00327500 | | 394,655.84 | | 661,441.84 | 299,021 | \$ | 1.641.2 |
| Pindimar | | 196 | 42.59% | \$ | 662.00 | \$ | 129,752.00 | 53,401,100 | 0.00327500 | \$ | 174,888.60 | | 304,640.60 | 272,455 | | 1.554.2 |
| Seal Rocks | | 61 | 20.11% | \$ | 662.00 | \$ | 40,382.00 | 48,985,000 | 0.00327500 | \$ | 160,425.88 | | 200,807.88 | | \$ | 3,291.9 |
| SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T | | | | | | | | | | | 0.000 | 7 | ar your one of | | | |
| Coomba Park Rate | | 642 | 48.63% | \$ | 580.00 | \$ | 372,360.00 | 59,507,330 | 0.00661000 | \$ | 393,343.45 | \$ | 765,703.45 | 92,691 | \$ | 1,192.6 |
| Residential | | 2,460 | 57.85% | \$ | 724.00 | \$ | 1,781,040.00 | 479,814,900 | 0.00270500 | \$ | 1,297,899.30 | \$ | 3,078,939.30 | 195,047 | \$ | 1,251,6 |
| Residential (Rural) | | 2,029 | 42.53% | \$ | 724.00 | \$ | 1,468,996.00 | 733,910,450 | 0.00270500 | \$ | 1,985,227.77 | \$ | 3,454,223.77 | 361,710 | \$ | 1,702.4 |
| Nabiac | | 050 | 40.040/ | | 000.00 | • | 151 110 00 | 34 | | _ | | | | | | |
| Bulahdelah | | 256 | 49.34% | \$ | 602.00 | _ | 154,112.00 | 39,209,800 | 0.00403500 | | 158,211.54 | | 312,323.54 | | \$ | 1,220.0 |
| Stroud | | 498 327 | 49.49% | \$ | 602.00 | \$ | 299,796.00 | 57,773,548 | 0.00529700 | | 306,026.48 | | 605,822.48 | 116,011 | | 1,216.5 |
| Stroud | 5 | 321 | 49.20% | 1 | 602.00 | \$ | 196,854.00 | 54,438,290 | 0.00373300 | \$ | 203,218.14 | \$ | 400,072.14 | 166,478 | \$ | 1,223.4 |
| | | THE THE PARTY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Urban Rate | | | | | | | | | | 45 | | | | | | |
| Residential Taree | | 6,823 | 47.76% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 4,168,853.00 | 903,065,350 | | | 4,560,480.02 | | 8,729,333.02 | | \$ | 1,279.4 |
| Residential Cundletown | | 717 | 41.24% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 438,087.00 | 123,606,500 | | \$ | 624,212.83 | \$ | 1,062,299.83 | | \$ | 1,481.59 |
| Residential Wingham | | 2,140 | 50.54% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 1,307,540.00 | 253,409,880 | 0.00505000 | \$ 1 | 1,279,719.89 | \$ | 2,587,259.89 | 118,416 | \$ | 1,209.0 |

| | | | | | | | | AND AGE STORY | | | Action to the | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|--------|-----|---|--|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|--------------------|------------|-----|-----------|
| Residential Village Rate | | | | | | | | | | | | | Maria Caraca | | | |
| Residential Hallidays Point | | 2,022 | 47.95% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 1,235,442.00 | 457,640,000 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 1,340,885.20 | \$ | 2,576,327.20 | 226,330 | \$ | 1.274.15 |
| Residential Old Bar/Wallabi Pt | | 2,498 | 50.49% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 1,526,278.00 | 510,740,500 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 1,496,469.67 | \$ | 3,022,747.67 | 204,460 | \$ | 1.210.07 |
| Residential Harrington/Crowdy | | 1,934 | 46.37% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 1,181,674.00 | 466,456,600 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 1,366,717.84 | \$ | 2,548,391.84 | 241,187 | \$ | 1,317.68 |
| Residential Coopernook | | 170 | 64.54% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 103,870.00 | 19,474,000 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 57,058.82 | \$ | 160,928.82 | 114,553 | \$ | 946.64 |
| Residential Croki | | 22 | 66.01% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 13,442.00 | 2,362,600 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 6,922.42 | \$ | 20,364.42 | 107,391 | \$ | 925.66 |
| Residential Johns River | | 47 | 64.75% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 28,717.00 | 5,335,400 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 15,632.72 | \$ | 44,349.72 | 113,519 | \$ | 943.61 |
| Residential Krambach | | 58 | 63.99% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 35,438.00 | 6,807,400 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 19,945.68 | \$ | 55,383.68 | 117,369 | \$ | 954.89 |
| Residential Lansdowne | | 146 | 71.83% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 89,206.00 | 11,939,900 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 34,983.91 | \$ | 124,189.91 | 81,780 | \$ | 850.62 |
| Residential Tinonee | | 350 | 59.73% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 213,850.00 | 49,201,300 | 0.00293000 | \$ | 144,159.81 | \$ | 358,009.81 | 140,575 | \$ | 1,022.89 |
| | | | | | | \$ | | | | \$ | | \$ | | | | |
| Residential Rural Estates Rate | | 841 | 42.38% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 513,851.00 | 254,415,550 | 0.00274600 | \$ | 698,625.10 | \$ | 1,212,476.10 | 302,516 | \$ | 1,441.71 |
| Residential Rural Rate | | 4,366 | 43.17% | \$ | 611.00 | \$ | 2,667,626.00 | 1,135,087,370 | 0.00309400 | \$: | 3,511,960.32 | \$ | 6,179,586.32 | 259,983 | \$ | 1,415.39 |
| | le or any more | | | 1 572 | | 100 | | | | 744 | | | | | | |
| Residential Rural | MANAGE MANAGEMENT | 907 | 35.63% | \$ | 619.50 | \$ | 561,886.50 | 204,767,590 | 0.00495800 | \$ | 1,015,237.71 | \$ | 1,577,124.21 | 225,764 | s | 1,738.84 |
| Residential Gloucester | | 1,175 | 44.41% | \$ | 525.00 | | 616,875.00 | 107,570,550 | 0.00717800 | | 772,141.41 | | 1,389,016,41 | 91,549 | | 1,182.14 |
| Residential Village | | 216 | 45.00% | \$ | 525.00 | \$ | 113,400.00 | 28,548,520 | 0.00485400 | | 138,574.52 | - | 251,974.52 | 132,169 | | 1,166.55 |
| Farmland | | 645 | 16.02% | \$ | 619.50 | \$ | 399,577.50 | 467.045,800 | 0.00448500 | 0 ' | 2,094,700.41 | • | 2,494,277.91 | 724,102 | Φ. | 3.867.10 |
| Farmland | 241274125 | 1,521 | 35.11% | \$ | 741.00 | \$ | 1,127,061.00 | 706,997,630 | 0.00294600 | | 2,082,815.02 | | 3,209,876.02 | 464.824 | | 2.110.37 |
| Farmland | The second second | 890 | 16.38% | \$ | 387.00 | S | 344,430.00 | 550,837,820 | 0.00234000 | | 1,757,723.48 | | 2,102,153.48 | 618,919 | \$ | 2,110.37 |
| Farmland (Non Urban) | THE S | 3,194 | 82.49% | \$ | 387.00 | \$ | 1,236,078.00 | 82,226,840 | 0.00319100 | | 262,385.85 | | 1,498,463.85 | 25,744 | 100 | 469.15 |
| Business Sub Categories | .7 10 | a local process | F155 155 | + | | 1,7 | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | No. of the Control of | | T Talking | 100/9/1000 | No. 1 | a substitute and a | | _ | |
| Business Forster | 27 18 18 | 404 | 15.58% | \$ | 763.00 | S | 308,252.00 | 177,260,827 | 0.00942400 | \$ 1 | 1 670 506 03 | \$ | 1,978,758.03 | 438,764 | \$ | 4.897.92 |
| Business Tuncurry | | 215 | 15.86% | \$ | 763.00 | S | 164,045.00 | 92,315,197 | 0.00942400 | | 869,978.42 | | 1,034,023.42 | 429,373 | \$ | 4,809.41 |
| Business Forster Council Admin | E. C. A. P. | 1 | 8.91% | \$ | 763.00 | \$ | 763.00 | 5,500,000 | 0.00141800 | | | \$ | 8,562.00 | 5.500.000 | S | 8.562.00 |
| Business | CONTRACT | 430 | 29.98% | \$ | 763.00 | \$ | 328,090.00 | 127,794,392 | 0.00599700 | | | \$ | 1,094,472.97 | 297,196 | \$ | 2.545.29 |
| Business Commercial/Industrial | | 243 | 25.49% | \$ | 525.00 | S | 127,575.00 | 34,183,820 | | \$ | | \$ | 500,452.11 | 140.674 | | 2,059.47 |
| Business Other | | 22 | 40.10% | \$ | 525.00 | \$ | 11,550.00 | 3,505,050 | 0.00492200 | | | \$ | 28,801.86 | 159,320 | \$ | 1,309.18 |
| Business Town minimums | 31 | 841 | 188,860 | \$ | 374.00 | \$ | 11,594.00 | 231,243,137 | 0.02194200 | \$ 5 | 5,069,792.95 | \$ | 5.081,386.95 | 274.962 | \$ | 6.042.08 |
| Business Village minimums | 65 | 189 | 769,560 | \$ | 374.00 | S | 24,310.00 | 54,859,760 | | \$ | | \$ | 438,803.20 | 290,263 | \$ | 2.321.71 |
| Business Rural minimums | 96 | 333 | 1,313,415 | \$ | 374.00 | \$ | 35,904.00 | 49,935,185 | | \$ | 437,401.44 | | 473,305.44 | | | 1,421.34 |
| Mining (working Coal) | | 1 | 0.00% | \$ | | \$ | | 25,700,000 | 0.01012100 | \$ | 260,109.70 | \$ | 260,109.70 | 25,700,000 | \$2 | 60.109.70 |
| Mining (Other Gold) | | 1 | 0.00% | \$ | | \$ | - NO. 1 TO 1 TO 1 | 17,500 | 0.06280700 | | 1,099.12 | | 1,099.12 | 17,500 | | 1.099.12 |
| Mining | | 4 | 4.20% | \$ | 763.00 | \$ | 3,052.00 | 17,970,000 | 0.00387300 | | 69,597.81 | | 72,649.81 | 4,492,500 | T-0 | |
| Total all | STEEL STATE | 54,972 | A COLOR | 1 | | | -, | | 3,0000,000 | - | | \$ | 84.330.283.39 | 7,102,000 | * | .0,102.40 |